

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,010	10/31/2003	Ramon Vega	200209963-1	8251
22879 7590 01/24/2008 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			EXAMINER	
			ZHU, RICHARD Z	
	AL PROPERTY ADMINI NS, CO 80527-2400	STRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TORT COLLIN	15, 00 00027 2 100		2625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,010	VEGA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard Z. Zhu	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a set of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	nis action is non-final.	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	re: a) accepted or b) one drawing(s) be held in abeyarection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/697,010

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Drawing Objections - 37 CFR 1.83

Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art—because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

3. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 10-12, 14-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by *Kao et al. (US 2002/0018086 A1)* or 35 USC 102 (e) by *Kao et al. (US 6672711 B2)*.

Please refer to the patent version of *Kao et al.* (US 2002/0018086 A1) or *Kao et al.* (US 6672711 B2) for relevant disclosure. Please note the fact that the examiner uses "nozzles" and "inkjets" interchangeably, as it is known in the art that the terms refer to the same entity.

Regarding Claim 1, Kao discloses a method of operating a printer of the kind comprising an array of dot printing elements extending in a first direction relative to a page to be printed (Fig 1 and see Col 1, Rows 14-38, an array of inkjet cells extending in a first direction relative to a paper medium or page to be printed) and which prints at least a part of the page during relative movement between the array and the page in a second direction at an angle to the first direction (Conventionally, inkjet nozzles are arranged in one direction depending on how directions are defined on the basis of orientation of the nozzles relative to the paper medium whereas the nozzles are moved in another direction when ejecting ink on the paper medium depending on the same orientation of the nozzles relative to the paper medium), the array comprising a plurality of groups of elements with redundancy among the elements of the group (Col 4, Rows 56-65, the redundant group of inkjet nozzles are the nozzles that do not jet ink at the time of printing), the method comprising, in respect of at least one of said groups, initially commencing printing using a subset of the elements in the group (Col 4, Rows 56-65, the subset of the elements being nozzle or inkjet elements provided with the first signal) and, during the course of printing, increasing the number of elements available to print in the

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

group (Col 4, Rows 56-65, when the nozzle or inkjet elements initially provided with the second signal receives the first signal, the number of elements available to print are increased).

Regarding Claim 2, Kao discloses wherein each redundant group is arranged to print a respective row of dots in the second direction (Conventionally, inkjet nozzles are arranged in one direction depending on how directions are defined on the basis of orientation of the nozzles relative to the paper medium whereas the nozzles are moved in another direction when ejecting ink on the paper medium depending on the same orientation of the nozzles relative to the paper medium).

Regarding Claim 4, *Kao* discloses wherein the number of elements in the group available to print is increased as a function of the number of firing pulses sent to the elements of the group (Fig 5 and see Col 5, Rows 1-28, the amplitude of signal 1 is higher than signal 2. As it can be observed, the number of nozzles or inkjets available for ejecting ink is a function of signal 1. The more nozzles that receive signal 1, the more nozzles are available for printing).

Regarding Claim 5, Kao discloses wherein each element newly made available to the group is initially made available for use less frequently than the existing element(s) (Fig 5 and see Col 5, Rows 1-28. Inherently, for those nozzles that receive signal 1 for the first time relative to those nozzles that already received signal 1 at least once, the nozzles that had just receive signal 1 for the first time are less frequently use than the nozzles that already received signal 1 at least once).

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

Regarding Claim 6, Kao discloses wherein at least one element in the group is serviced prior to printing so that it is at least partially operational at the commencement of the print job (Col 4, Rows 37-55, start to heat the nozzles in preparation for printing whereas those received signal 1 are heated to ink ejection temperature), printing being commenced using the said at least one serviced element and one non-serviced element (Col 4, Rows 56-65, serviced element being supplied with signal 1 whereas non-serviced element being supplied with signal 2), the non-serviced element initially being made available for use less frequently than the said at least one serviced element (Fig 5 and see Col 5, Rows 1-28. Inherently, for those nozzles that receive signal 1 for the first time relative to those nozzles that already received signal 1 at least once, the nozzles that already received signal 1 at least once, the nozzles that already received signal 1 at least once).

Regarding Claim 7, *Kao* discloses prior to commencing printing, identifying portions of the array of printing elements which will be needed at least for a first pass of the array relative to the first page of the print job (Fig 5 and see Col 4, Row 66 – Col 5, Row 25, on the basis of the input print data, it is determined which nozzle or inkjet will receive signal 1 and which nozzle or inkjet will receive signal 2), and servicing printing elements according to the array portions so identified whereby one or more printing elements outside the identified array portions are not serviced (Col 4, Rows 56-65, serviced element being supplied with signal 1 whereas non-serviced element being supplied with signal 2).

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

Regarding Claim 10, Kao discloses wherein the printer is an inkjet printer and the dot printing elements are inkjet nozzles (Fig 1 and see Col 1, Rows 14-38).

Regarding Claim 11, Kao discloses an incremental printer (Fig 1 and see Col 1, Rows 14-38 and Col 3, Rows 60-65) comprising a plurality of printing elements grouped into redundant groups, each group being arranged to print substantially different portions of a given page of a printjob (Inherently, inkjets at different location would corresponds to substantially different portions of any given paper medium on which printing is to be executed), the printer being adapted, when commencing a printjob, to control at least one redundant group of printing elements such that only a subset of the printing elements in that group are used to print (Col 4, Rows 56-65, the redundant group of inkjet or nozzles are those that received signal 2 whereas the subset of inkjets that are designated for printing received signal 1), the printer being further arranged to subsequently increase the number of printing elements in that group which are used to print (Col 4, Rows 56-65 and see Fig 5, Col 5, Rows 1-28, when the nozzle or inkjet elements initially provided with the second signal receives the first signal, the number of elements available to print are increased).

Regarding Claim 12, *Kao* discloses wherein each redundant group is arranged to print a row or column of image data (Col 5, Rows 1-28, row data 130 and column data 150).

Regarding Claim 14, *Kao* discloses wherein the number of elements in the subset of that group is increased in dependence upon the cumulative number of firing pulses sent to the

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

elements of the group during the printing of the printjob (Fig 5 and see Col 5, Rows 1-28, the amplitude of signal 1 is higher than signal 2. As it can be observed, the number of nozzles or inkjets available for ejecting ink is a function of signal 1. The more nozzles that receive signal 1, the more nozzles are available for printing).

Regarding Claim 15, *Kao* discloses the printer being further arranged, when increasing the number of printing elements in subset of that group, to cause the one or more printing elements newly included in the subset to print for a predetermined duration at a frequency lower than that of one or more printing elements previously included in the subset (Fig 5 and see Col 5, Rows 1-28. Inherently, for those nozzles that receive signal 1 for the first time relative to those nozzles that already received signal 1 at least once during a predetermined duration, the nozzles that had just receive signal 1 for the first time are less frequently use than the nozzles that already received signal 1 at least once during that predetermined duration).

Regarding Claim 16, Kao discloses wherein at least one element in that group is serviced prior to commencing the printjob (Col 4, Rows 37-55, start to heat the nozzles in preparation for printing whereas those received signal 1 are heated to ink ejection temperature).

Regarding Claim 18, Kao discloses wherein the printer is an inkjet printer and the printing elements are inkjet nozzles (Fig 1 and see Col 1, Rows 14-38).

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 3, 9, 13, 17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Kao et al. (US 2002/0018086 A1 or US 6672711 B2) in view of Yamada et al. (US 6260940 B1).

Regarding Claim 3, Kao does not disclose wherein the number of elements in the group available to print is increased as a function of the distance traveled by the array.

Yamada discloses wherein a number of elements in a group of nozzles available to print is increased as a function of the distance traveled by the array (Abstract and see Col 6, Row 50 – Col 7, Row 5, the concept of ramping up and down where an array of nozzles are traveling along a predetermined distance across a paper medium on which printing is to be performed and see Col 7, Rows 25-67, where heating of nozzles to a state of printing so that the number of nozzles available to printing is increased as a function of the distance traveled).

It would've been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the nozzles of *Kao* to increase the number of nozzles available to print as a function of distance traveled in order to avoid any potential time delay so that once the array arrived on the location, printing can immediately start (*Yamada*, Col 7, Rows 18-23).

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

Regarding Claim 9, Kao does not disclose wherein the array of printing elements extends substantially fully across the page in the first direction.

Yamada discloses wherein the array of printing elements extends substantially fully across the page in the first direction (Col 7, Row 50 - Col 8, Row 5).

It would've been well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the manner of printing of *Yamada* into *Kao* in order to properly print a page of image data, be it text or graphic.

Regarding Claim 13, *Kao* does not disclose wherein the elements are arranged to move relative to the image being printed and the number of elements in the subset of that group is increased in dependence upon the degree of movement between the elements and the image being printed.

Yamada discloses wherein the elements are arranged to move relative to the image being printed and the number of elements in the subset of that group is increased in dependence upon the degree of movement between the elements and the image being printed (Abstract and see Col 6, Row 50 – Col 7, Row 5, the concept of ramping up and down where an array of nozzles are traveling along a predetermined distance across a paper medium on which printing is to be performed and see Col 7, Rows 25-67, where heating of nozzles to a state of printing so that the number of nozzles available to printing is increased as a function of the distance traveled).

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

It would've been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the nozzles of *Kao* to increase the number of nozzles available to print as a function of distance traveled in order to avoid any potential time delay so that once the array arrived on the location, printing can immediately start (*Yamada*, Col 7, Rows 18-23).

Regarding Claim 17, Kao does not disclose wherein the plurality of printing elements forms a page wide or a page high array.

Yamada discloses wherein the plurality of printing elements forms a page wide (Col7, Row 50 - Col 8, Row 5) or a page high array.

It would've been well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the manner of printing of *Yamada* into *Kao* in order to properly print a page of image data, be it text or graphic.

Regarding Claims 19 and 20, *Kao* remaining silent on a printer control circuit adapted to control a printer and a computer readable medium containing program instruction which, when executed by a data processing device, control a printer assuming one of ordinary skill in the art is enabled with the knowledge to do so.

Yamada discloses a printer control circuit adapted to control a printer (Figs 2-3 and see Col 3, Row 65 – Col 4, Row 12) and a computer readable medium containing program instruction which, when executed by a data processing device, control a printer according to claims 1-7, and 9-10 (Col 4, Rows 55-65, ROM 33 stores the control program).

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

It would've been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the control circuit and control program of *Yamada* to perform the functions of *Kao* in order to effectively control the operation of the entire printer from an integrated point of command in a cost effective manner.

6. Claims 8 and 19 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kao et al. (US 2002/0018086 A1 or US 6672711 B2)* in view of *Silverbrook (US 5864351 A)*.

Regarding Claim 8, Kao does not disclose wherein faulty printing elements, as identified by a faulty printing element database, are excluded from being made available to the group.

Silverbrook discloses wherein faulty printing elements, as identified by a faulty printing element database, are excluded from being made available to the group (Col 27, Rows 11-44, Fault Map RAM 412, dynamically reconfiguring an available nozzle to replace a faulty nozzle so as to exclude the faulty nozzle from the active service group).

It would've been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of *Kao* with the ability to dynamically reconfigure an active duty nozzle to replace a faulty nozzle as suggested by *Silverbrook* in order to extend the life of the nozzle array in a cost effective manner.

Regarding Claim 19, Kao remaining silent on a printer control circuit adapted to control a printer.

Silverbrook discloses a printer control circuit adapted to control a printer to perform the method claimed in claim 8 (Fig 4 and see Col 26, Row 43 – Col 28, Row 50).

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

It would've been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the control circuit of *Silverbrook* to perform the functions of *Kao* in order to effectively control the operation of the entire printer from an integrated point of command in a cost effective manner.

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kao et al. (US 2002/0018086 A1 or US 6672711 B2) and Silverbrook (US 5864351 A) in view of Yamada et al. (US 6260940 B1).

Regarding Claim 20, Kao remaining silent on a computer readable medium containing program instruction which, when executed by a data processing device, control a printer assuming one of ordinary skill in the art is enabled with the knowledge to do so.

Yamada discloses a computer readable medium containing program instruction which, when executed by a data processing device, control a printer according to claim 8 (Col 4, Rows 55-65, ROM 33 stores the control program).

It would've been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the control circuit and control program of *Yamada* to perform the functions of *Kao* in order to effectively control the operation of the entire printer from an integrated point of command in a cost effective manner.

10/697,010 Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US 5606355 A, US 6352327 B1, and US 6634731 B2 discloses inkjet control circuits for controlling the availability of nozzles for printing by controlling the temperature on predetermined criterion that gradually increase the amount of nozzles ready for active duty service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner's supervisor King Y. Poon whose telephone number is 571-272-7440 or examiner Richard Z. Zhu whose telephone number is 571-270-1587. Examiner Richard Zhu can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 6:30 - 4:00 and alternate Friday, 7:30-4:00.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-2199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

 RZ^2

12/28/2007

Richard Z. Zhu

Assistant Examiner

KING Y. POON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINET Unit 2625